2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICAITON NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHA	SE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT N	IO. (If applicble)
					· · ·
6. ISSUED BY CODE		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)		CODE	
				_	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, co	ounty, State and ZIP Code)		(X) 9A. AMENDME	NT OF SOLICIAT	ION NO.
			(7.1)		
			9B. DATED (SEI	E ITEM 11)	
			104 MODIFICA	TION OF CONT	A OT (ODDED NO
			TOA. MODIFICA	TION OF CONTE	RACT/ORDER NO.
			10B. DATED (S	EE ITEM 11)	
			, ,	,	
CODE	ACILITY CODE				
11. THIS ITE	M ONLY APPLIES TO	AMENDMENTS OF S	SOLICITATIONS		
The above numbered solicitation is amended as set for	th in Itam 14. The hour and	data appoified for receipt of O	offers is a	tended.	s not extended.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to					s not extended.
(a)By completing items 8 and 15, and returning	•	b) By acknowledging receipt of	•	•	offer submitted;
or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a refere	<ul> <li>nce to the solicitation and am</li> </ul>	nendment numbers. FAILURE	OF YOUR ACKNOWLE	EDGMENT TO BE	RECEIVED AT THE
PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR your desire to change an offer already submitted, such char	nge may be made by telegram				
amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and d	late specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPIRATION DATA (If required	d)				
		DDIFICATION OF CON		RS.	
		DER NO. AS DESCRIE		DE IN THE CONT	DACT OPDED
CHECK ONE A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSONO. IN ITEM 10A.	SOANT TO: (Specify authority	y) THE CHANGES SET FORTE	1 IN ITEM 14 ARE MA	DE IN THE CONT	RACT ORDER
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/	ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REF	LECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE	CHANGES (such as cl	nanges in paving	office.
appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH II			•		
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS	ENTERED INTO PURSUANT	TO AUTHORITY OF:			
D. OTHER (O. 16 to					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification at	nd authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sign th	is document and retu	rn ——— co	opies to the	issuing office.
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organ	nized by UCF section heading	s, including solicitation/contra	act subject matter whe	ere feasible.)	
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the de	ocument referenced in Item 9	A or 10A, as heretofore char	nged, remains unchang	ed and in full for	ce and effect.
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF	CONTRACTING OFFIC	ER (Type or print	t)
15D CONTRACTOR/OFFFDOR	150 DATE CICALED	16D LINITED OTATEO OF A	MEDICA		16C DATE CICNED
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF A	IIVIEKICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature	of Contracting Officer	)	
, , o. po. oo aaa lon 200 to olgi l	i i	(Oignaturo			•

Q&A List Solicitation N65540-03-R-0069

Q1: Is it known that a heat pipe assembly this size will meet the performance criteria listed in Table 1 of Appendix A?

A1: No. We are looking to the contractor to provide analysis and data on thermal performance as part of this contract.

Q2: The gas side temperature (925 F) seems high for Admiralty materials listed for this service. Comments?

A2: The 925 F temperature is a current design element of the shell and tube heat exchangers currently used in the fleet. Normal operating range is between 500 F and 650 F. If the heat exchanger were to operate at the maximum gas temperature, it would be for a minimal amount of time (less than one hour).

Q3: Is the 4-hour operational check specified in Paragraph 3.6 of the RFQ required to confirm overall performance as stated in Table 1 of Appendix A? A3: Yes

Q4: Is an "in service" operational check of the prototype unit feasible? In other words, could the contractor run testing with applicable monitoring apparatus after installing unit shipboard?

A4: This contract requires OEM operational check by the contractor prior to shipboard install and performance testing. Onboard testing will be addressed in future contracts.

Q5: There appear to be no requirements for serviceability or cleaning, either in-service or out of service. Are HP-BAC characteristics in this capacity desirable?

A5: The drawing provided is an engineering concept not the fabrication drawings. We will be looking to industry to address serviceability of unit in design of production units.

Q6: Has a double-walled tube conventional heat exchanger been considered for this service?

A6: No.

Q7: Does the exchanger housing need to "U" Code Stamped in accordance with ASME Section VIII Div 1.?

A7: No.

Q8: It appears from the RFQ that a significant amount of effort has already been completed as part of proof-of-concept. Is any documentation available from the proof-of-concept phase that would help in pricing the prototype?

A8: This is the proof of concept phase. The work done to date is analytical and has formed the basis of the SOW, specifically Table 1. Offerors should use Tables 1 and 2 ito dentify the basic criteria and materials which are needed to form the pricing. Offerors are free to base their pricing on use of other materials, but any increase in price (either for more costly materials or because the use of new materials will increase the production learning curve) may put the proposal at a competitive disadvantage.